

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/801,633	03/16/2004	Robert G. Moores JR.	0275D-214COC	2966
27572 7	590 08/03/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/801,633	MOORES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relicted will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON attute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	) July 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 59-84 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 59-84 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coru 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the International Burn  * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Claims 59-63, 65-73 and 75-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz *et al.* (US 5,480,734) in view of Bunyea (US 4,871,629) and Mita (US 5,456,994), for reasons of record.

Claims 64 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz et al. in view of Bunyea and Mita as applied to claims 59 and 70 above, and further in view of Miller (US 5,343,368), for reasons of record.

Claims 59-69 and 78-80 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,186. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons of record.

Claims 78-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent for "the mechanism for coupling" in claim 78, line 3. The phrase "a removable battery pack" now appears in both lines 2 and 3 of claim 78. Are these the same pack? If so, the second instance of the phrase should include "the" instead of "a", while the "mechanism for coupling" should be preceded by "a". Claims 79 and 80 depend from claim 78, and would likewise be indefinite.

Applicant's arguments filed 10 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Schulz *et al.* illustrates heat dissipation while a battery is being charged, and not while it is being used, i.e., discharged. Schulz *et al.* also teach that towards the end of discharge, a battery's temperature will rise, thus showing a motivation for cooling the battery while it is in use.

Applicants correctly note that Bunyea does not disclose any heat dissipating mechanism.

Bunyea is cited for teaching a coupling mechanism between a battery pack and a cordless tool.

Applicants argue that Mita "relates to an automotive application" and thus provides no suggestion to combine its teachings with a handheld tool. This is not persuasive because combining the teachings of the references does not require combining their specific structures, *in re Nievelt*, 482 F.2d 965, 179 USPQ 224, 226 (CCPA 1973). Because Schulz *et al.*, as stated above, provide a reason to dissipate heat from a battery pack, and Mita show a means for doing so, it is submitted that the teachings of these references are mutually relevant.

In view of applicant's amendments, the previous rejections under 35 USC §102 and §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph are withdrawn. However, the rejection under obviousness-type double patenting remains, because neither arguments addressing this rejection nor a Terminal Disclaimer have been filed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,633

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

sjk

of Killer